

BY EXPRESS MAIL  
ER 242511840 US



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**Applicant:** Steven J. Eberbach

September 12, 2003

**Serial No.:** 09/153,831

**Art Unit:** 2644

**Filed:** 09/15/1998

**Examiner:** Ping Lee

**For:** SURROUND SOUND LOUDSPEAKER SYSTEM

**RECEIVED**

SEP 22 2003

Technology Center 2600

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RESPONSE TO EXAMINER'S ACTION DATED MARCH 12, 2003**

Sir:

In response to the examiner's action, an amended set of claims and an amended Abstract are appended hereto.

**REMARKS**

A revised one paragraph Abstract is attached on a separate sheet. Please substitute the new Abstract for the existing Abstract as required by the examiner.

The Specification and claim 2 have been rejected as failing to disclose and support certain aspects of the claimed subject matter, claim 1 has been rejected as anticipated by Gefvert, and claims 1 and 2 have been rejected as anticipated by McShane. Reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the following comments and appended amended claims.

With respect to the examiner's objection that the Specification and claim 2 fail to support the claimed subject matter, the explanation appears on page 12 of the Specification. The applicant is using two non-parallel crossed beam edge gradients to make each signal